

Current Research on Biosciences and Biotechnology



www.crbb-journal.com

# Total phenolic, flavonoid, and antioxidant capacity of bajakah (*Spatholobus littoralis* Hassk)

# Muhammad Ikhlas Arsul\*, Nurfajri Syamsi, Nadila Putri, Nur Afiah Alfrianti Nur, Muhkhriani, Nursalam Hamzah

Department of Pharmacy, Alauddin Islamic State University, Gowa, South Sulawesi, Indonesia

# ABSTRACT

The bajakah (*Spatholobus littoralis* Hassk.) is one of the typical plants from Kalimantan Island, which has potential as a drug, one of which using as an antioxidant is due to the secondary metabolites contained therein. The aims of the research are to estimate the total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, and antioxidant capacity of the bajakah by different methods. Extraction was carried out by reflux method using a solvent gradient of n-hexane, ethyl acetate, and ethanol 96%. Phenols and flavonoid content were calculated using spectroscopy. Antioxidant capacity was measured against ascorbic acid and Trolox using the CUPRAC, DPPH, and FRAP methods and reported as mg Trolox equivalent (TEAC) and ascorbic acid equivalent (AEAC) per gram extract. The ethanol extract showed the highest antioxidant capacity for the CUPRAC and FRAP methods, the n-hexane extract for the DPPH method on both varieties of bajakah tampala. Each method provides significantly different antioxidant capacity values. The CUPRAC and FRAP methods found the highest antioxidant capacity in the white variety of bajakah ethanol extract. Meanwhile, in the DPPH method, saw the highest antioxidant capacity in the red variety of n-hexane bajakah extract. DPPH and CUPRAC give a positive correlation with TPC, where CUPRAC assay produced higher values than FRAP and DPPH assays.

DOI: 10.5614/crbb.2022.4.1/VRJ3X4LF

#### Article history:

Received 23 Jul 2022 Revised 11 Aug 2022 Accepted 24 Aug 2022 Available online 31 Aug 2022

Keywords:

CUPRAC DPPH FRAP total phenolic total flavonoid

\*Corresponding authors: ikkal87@yahoo.co.id

e-ISSN 2686-1623/© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Institut Teknologi Bandung. An open access article under CC BY license.

#### 1. Introduction

Antioxidants play an important role in food by inhibiting oxidation processes and contributing to health promotion rendered by many dietary supplements, nutraceuticals, and functional food ingredients. Various assays can monitor antioxidant activity with different mechanisms, including hydrogen atom transfer (HAT), single electron transfer (ET), reducing power, and metal chelation. Therefore, understanding the principal mechanisms, advantages, and limitations of the measurement assays is important for properly selecting method(s) for valid evaluation of antioxidant potential in desired applications (Shahidi and Zhong, 2015). Antioxidants can be found naturally in plants, animals, and microorganisms or can be synthesized by chemical means. Higher plants and their constituents provide a rich source of natural antioxidants, such as phenolics and flavonoids, which are widely found in spices, herbs, fruits, vegetables, cereals, grains, seeds, teas and oils (Shahidi and Zhong, 2015; Aryal et al., 2019). Natural phenolic and flavonoid compounds are plant secondary metabolites that present an ring containing at least one hydroxyl group aromatic (Tungmunnithum et al., 2018). Phenolic compounds are good electron donors as their hydroxyl groups can directly contribute to the antioxidant action (Bendary et al., 2013). According to several reports in the literature, phenolic compounds exhibit free radical inhibition, peroxide decomposition, metal inactivation or oxygen scavenging in biological systems and prevent oxidative disease burden (Babbar et al., 2015).

The search for new bioactive compounds from natural sources can be made through ethnobotany and chemotaxonomic approaches. One species that can be developed as a medicinal ingredient is the bajakah (*Spatholobus littoralis* Hassk.). This plant comes from the inland areas of Kalimantan Province and has not spread to other regions (Saputera and Ayuchecaria, 2018). However, this plant is widely used empirically by rural communities in Central Kalimantan for various diseases (Novanty et al., 2021). Bajakah tampala is one of the plant species of the genus *Spatholobus*, which is widely distributed and lives in mainland Asia, of which 29 species grow and live in the forests of Southeast Asia (Fitriani et al., 2020).



Fig. 1. Bajakah tampala. White variety (a), red variety (b)

#### 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Materials

In this research, we use two varieties of bajakah plant, red and white varieties that were collected from Nunukan District, North Kalimantan (Fig. 1). Ascorbic acid, (±)-6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), gallic acid, quercetin, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), neucoproine, and 2,4,6-tripyridyltriazine (TPTZ) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (MO, USA). All other chemicals were of analytical grade.

#### 2.2. Extraction

Both bajakah stem (500 g) were extracted by reflux employing three solvents with increasing polarity. Extraction was started with n-hexane and repeated three times for each of them. The residue was subsequently refluxed by a similar method using ethyl acetate and ethanol. Total volume of each solvent is 5 l. The extract was evaporated at  $50^{\circ}$ C under vacuum.

#### 2.3. Total phenolic content

The total phenolic content was evaluated with an adaptation of Folin-Ciolcalteu reagent test (McDonald et al., 2001). Each extract and gallic acid of 0.5 ml was piped into 5 ml Folin-Ciolcalteu reagent 10% and 4 ml of sodium carbonate 1 M and incubated the mixtures for 15 min. The absorbance was measured at wavelength 765 nm using spectrophotometer UV-Vis (Thermo scientific Genesys 10s). The results were reported as mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram extract (mg GAE/g extract) according to the standard calibration curve of gallic acid 10-70µg/ml.

#### 2.4. Total flavonoid content

The total flavonoids content was measured using Chang's adapted method (Chang et al., 2002). Each extract and quercetin of 0.5 ml was piped into 1.5 ml ethanol, 2.8 ml aquadest, 0.1 ml aluminium chloride 10% and 0.1 ml sodium acetate 1 M. The mixture was incubated at 15 min, and absorbance was absorbance read at 415 nm. The results were presented as mg equivalents of quercetin (QE) per gram extract (mg QE/g extract) according to the standard calibration curve of quercetin 10-70  $\mu$ g/ml.

#### 2.5. Antioxidant activities

#### 2.5.1. DPPH assay

Calep et al. (2015) method was slightly modified based on DPPH radical-scavenging activity (Celep et al., 2015). First, 0.5 ml of samples was mixed with 3 ml of 0.1 mM DPPH solution prepared in methanol. Then, the test solution ware incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min, and the absorbance of the mixture was measured at 515 nm. Trolox (12-20  $\mu$ g) and ascorbic acid (20-100  $\mu$ g) were used as standards, and results were reported as mg Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) and ascorbic acid equivalent antioxidant capacity (AEAC) per gram of extract.

# 2.5.2. CUPRAC assay

The extract's CUPRAC ion reducing capacities were determined according to the method of Özyürek (Ozyürek et al., 2008). As much as 3 ml CUPRAC (CuCl2 0.01 M, Neucoproin 0.0075 M in ethanol p.a, and buffer NH4Ac pH 7.0 (1:1:1)) solution were added to 1,1 ml of extract (0,5 ml extract in methanol mixture destilled water until 1,1 ml). The absorbance of the final solution (of 4.1 ml total volume) at 450 nm was read against a reagent blank after 30 min left standing at room temperature. The results were reported as TEAC and AEAC per gram of extract. Each antioxidant's calibration curves (concentration vs. CUPRAC capacity) were constructed based on Trolox and ascorbic acid as a standard. TEAC and AEAC

coefficients were calculated as a ratio of molar absorptivity of each extract to that of ascorbic acid and Trolox in this assay.

# 2.5.3. FRAP assay

The extract's FRAP ion reducing capacities were determined according to the method of Özyürek (Ozyürek et al., 2008). As much as 3 ml of the FRAP reagent was added to 1 ml (0.05 ml sample and 0.95 ml aquadest) sample. The absorbance at 595 nm was read against a reagent blank at the end of 30 min. Trolox and ascorbic acid were used as standard, and the total antioxidant capacity of bajakah was measured as TEAC and AEAC per gram of extract.

# 3. Results and discussion

Bajakah was separated by organic solvents and resulted three extracts: n-Hexan extract, ethyl acetate extract, and ethanol extract. The total phenols' content of three extracts ranged from 84.57±1.26 to 505.50±11.57 mg GAE/g extract, and the flavonoids content was from 5.83±0.10 to 28.91±1.97 mg QE/g extract for red varieties and 172,49±3,35 to 601.96±16.23 mg GAE/g extract, and 20.07±1.26 to 34.25±0.36 mg QE/g extract for white varieties. The phenolic and flavonoid content (relative percentage) determined are reported in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, the highest total phenolic and flavonoid contents are ethanol extract 505.50±11.57 g GAE/g extract and 28.91±1.97 g QE/g extract for red varieties respectively. The highest total phenolic content are ethanol extract 601.96±16.23 g GAE/g extract, the highest total flavonoids are ethyl acetate extract 34.25±0.36 g OE/g extract for white varieties. The flavonoid of bajakah is higher than the flavonoid content of Spathalobus subeructus (444.38 mg/g and 198.25 mg/g), which is from the same genus (Fu et al., 2017).

**Table 1.** Total phenolic and flavonoid content of bajakah.

	Red varieties of bajakah		White varieties of bajakah		
Extract	TPC (mg	TFC (mg	TPC (mg	TFC (mg	
	GAE/g	QE/g	GAE/g	GAE/g	
	extract)	extract)	extract)	extract)	
<i>n-</i> hexane	84.57±1.26	5.83±0.10	172.49±3.35	20.07±1.26	
Ethyl acetate	217.98±7.95	15.17±0.17	653.53±6.00	34.25±0.36	
Ethanol	505.50±11.57	28.91±1.97	601.96±16.23	20.45±0.66	

DPPH values of bajakah extract given range from  $10.85\pm0.0$  to  $14.37\pm0.0$  mg AEAC/g extract and  $0.21\pm0.0$  to  $0.76\pm0.0$  mg TEAC/g extract for red varieties and  $10.36\pm0.0$  to  $14.06\pm0.0$  mg AEAC/g extract (Table 2) and  $0.13\pm0.0$  to  $0.72\pm0.0$  mg TEAC/g extract were higher than those of bajakah white varieties with (Table 3). DPPH values of bajakah for red varieties were higher than those of bajakah white varieties with (Table 3). DPPH values of bajakah for red varieties were higher than those of bajakah white varieties with (Table 3). DPPH values of bajakah for red varieties were higher than those of bajakah white varieties with range extract from  $10.85\pm0.0$  to  $14.37\pm0.0$  AEAC/g extract and  $0.21\pm0.0$  to  $0.76\pm0.0$  TEAC/g extract. A significant difference between extraction solvents was found (p<0.05) for both red and white varieties, as shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

DPPH radical scavenging assay is among the most frequently used methods and offers the first approach for evaluating antioxidant activity. It is an ET-based method with the HAT mechanism being only a marginal reaction pathway in the assay (Prior et al., 2005). DPPH is a stable chromogen radical with a deep purple color. The DPPH scavenging assay is based on the electron donation of antioxidants to neutralize DPPH radicals. The reaction is accompanied by the color change of the DPPH measured at 517 nm, and the discoloration acts as an indicator of the antioxidant efficacy.

Contrary to expectations, reaction stoichiometry (together with the initial rate) showed negligible correlation to the number of phenolic –OH groups per antioxidant molecule or redox potential. Steric accessibility to the hindered DPPH radical site may control the reaction rate to a stronger extent than specific chemical properties of antioxidants (i.e., initial rates decrease with the bulkiness of multiple-ring phenols). Because DPPH scavenging is a mixed-mode (both ET- and HAT-based) assay, antioxidants mainly acting with the HAT mechanism are strongly influenced by kinetic solvent effects, in those differences in the strength of hydrogen bonding of the solvent to phenolic –OH groups and or DPPH interfere with the release of H atoms (e.g., phenols reacted fastest in methanol and slowed dramatically in ethanol or acetone).

FRAP values of bajakah extract given range from  $4.77\pm0.3$  to  $16.12\pm1.1$  mg AEAC/g extract and  $7.17\pm0.4$  to  $21.57\pm1.4$  mg TEAC/g extract for red varieties (Table 2) and  $1.05\pm0.3$  to  $29.09\pm0.3$  mg AEAC/g extract and  $2.43\pm0.4$  to  $38.04\pm0.4$  mg TEAC/g extract were higher than those of bajakah white varieties with (Table 3). FRAP values of bajakah for white varieties were higher than those of bajakah red varieties with a range extract from  $1.05\pm0.3$  to  $29.09\pm0.3$  AEAC/g extract and  $2.43\pm0.4$  to  $38.04\pm0.4$  mg TEAC/g extract. A significant difference between extraction solvents was found (p<0.05) for both red and white varieties, as shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

The FRAP assay is a typical ET-based method that measures the reduction of ferric ion (Fe<sup>3+</sup>)-ligand complex to the intensely bluecolored ferrous (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) complex by antioxidants in acidic media. Antioxidant activity is determined as an absorbance increase at 593-595 nm, and results are expressed as micromolar Fe<sup>2+</sup> equivalents or relative to an antioxidant standard. Unlike other ET-based methods, FRAP assay is carried out under acidic pH conditions (pH 3.6) to maintain iron solubility and, more importantly, drive electron transfer. Therefore, it will increase the redox potential, causing a shift in the dominant reaction mechanism (Shahidi and Ambigaipalan, 2015). The original FRAP assay uses tripyridyltriazine (TPTZ) as the iron-binding ligand. At the same time, alternative ligands have also been employed for ferric binding, such as ferrozine for ascorbic acid reducing power evaluation. As a result, Prussian blue is produced as the end product, quantified spectrophotometrically, indicating the tested antioxidants' reducing power. Production of Prussian blue may be through two different routes with the same outcome. Antioxidants can either reduce the  $Fe^{3+}$  in the solution to  $Fe^{2+}$ , which binds the ferricyanide to yield prussian blue, or reduce the ferricyanide to ferrocyanide, which binds the free  $Fe^{3+}$  in the solution and forms prussian blue. The simplified scheme for these two reactions is given a blow (Berker et al., 2012).

CUPRAC values of bajakah extract given range from  $19.94\pm2.0$  to  $144.80\pm8.5$  mg AEAC/g extract and  $32.4\pm2.9$  to  $217.4\pm12.4$  mg TEAC/g extract for red varieties (Table 2) and  $30.51\pm0.6$  to  $351.02\pm1.2$  mg AEAC/g extract and  $49.9\pm0.8$  to  $518.1\pm1.7$  mg TEAC/g extract were higher than those of bajakah white varieties with (Table 3). CUPRAC values of bajakah for white varieties were higher than those of bajakah for white varieties were higher than those of bajakah for white varieties were higher than those of bajakah for white varieties were higher than those of bajakah red varieties with a range extract from  $30.51\pm0.6$  to  $351.02\pm1.2$  AEAC/g extract and  $49.9\pm0.8$  to  $518.1\pm1.7$  TEAC/g extract. No significant difference between extraction solvents was found (p>0.05) for both red and white varieties, as shown in Table 2 and 3.

The CUPRAC assay is a copper reduction assay developed as a variant of the FRAP assay. It uses copper as the oxidant instead of iron in the FRAP assay. The method measures the reducing power of antioxidants to convert cupric ( $Cu^{2+}$ ) to cuprous ( $Cu^+$ ) ions. Like the FRAP assay, a ligand is employed to form a copper–ligand complex to facilitate absorbance measurement.

Neocuproine (Nc; 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline) is the ligand commonly used in CUPRAC assay. The complex  $Cu^{2+}$ -neocuproine can be reduced by antioxidants to  $Cu^+$ -neocuproine, which is a chromophore with maximum absorption at 450 nm (Shahidi and Zhong, 2015). Another version of the optical sensoraided CUPRAC assay used a miniature reflectance spectrometer to measure reflectance changes at 530 nm instead of absorbance (Bener et al., 2013).

Overall, the antioxidant capacity of both red and white varieties was lower than that of Novanty et al. (63,141.06 mg/L GAEAC), but the method was not specific and different (Novanty et al., 2021).

Extract	CUPRAC		FRAP		DPPH	
	AEAC/g extract	TEAC/g extract	AEAC/g extract	TEAC/g extract	AEAC/g extract	TEAC/g extract
<i>n-</i> Hexsan Ethyl Acetate Ethanol	$19.94\pm2.0^{a}$ 73.50 $\pm2.2^{b}$ 144.80 $\pm8.5^{c}$	32.4±2.9ª 113.4±3.2 <sup>b</sup> 217.4 ±12.4 <sup>c</sup>	$4.77 \pm 0.3^{a}$ 14.59 $\pm 0.4^{b}$ 16.12 $\pm 1.1^{c}$	$7.17 \pm 0.4^{a}$ 20.44 $\pm 0.3^{b}$ 21.57 $\pm 1.4^{c}$	$14.37\pm0.0^{a}$ 10.89 $\pm0.0^{*b}$ 10.85 $\pm0.0^{*b}$	$0.76\pm0.0^{a}$ $0.22\pm0.0^{b}$ $0.21\pm0.0^{c}$

 Table 2. Antioxidant capacity of bajakah red varieties

The reported value is mean $\pm$ SD (n=3), values in the same column followed by a different letter (a-c) are significantly different (p< 0.05).

Table 3. antioxidant capacity of bajakah white varieties

Extract	CUPRAC		FRAP DPF		DPPH	'PH	
	AEAC/g extract	TEAC/g extract	AEAC/g extract	TEAC/g extract	AEAC/g extract	TEAC/g extract	
<i>n-</i> Hexsan Ethyl Acetate Ethanol	$30.51\pm0.6^{a}$ 268.89 $\pm5.4^{b}$ 351.02 $\pm1.2^{c}$	49.9±0.8ª 398.4±7.8 <sup>b</sup> 518.1±1.7 <sup>c</sup>	$1.05\pm0.3^{a}$ 21.80±0.5 <sup>b</sup> 29.09±0.3 <sup>c</sup>	$2.43\pm0.4^{a}$ $28.78\pm0.6^{b}$ $38.04\pm0.4^{c}$	$14.06\pm0.0^{a}$ $10.35\pm0.0^{b}$ $10.36\pm0.0^{b}$	$0.72 \pm 0.0^{a}$ $0.15 \pm 0.0^{b}$ $0.13 \pm 0.0^{c}$	

The reported value is mean $\pm$ SD (n=3), values in the same column followed by a different letter (a-c) are significantly different (p< 0.05).

Although different values were found from each antioxidant capacity assay, they still showed similar trends, as evidenced by their significant correlation (\*\*) in Table 4. DPPH and CUPRAC positively correlate with TPC (P>0.01). In contrast, there were negative statistical correlations between TFC and antioxidant capacity. The quantity of phenols and flavonoids may not be the only factor affecting the bioactivities of bajakah. Nevertheless, this result could demonstrate that the qualitative profile of phenols and flavonoids in bajakah is also involved in biological activities. Some investigations have proven that the antioxidant effects of phenols

and flavonoids are structurally related (Rice-Evans et al., 1996; Shahidi and Ambigaipalan, 2015).

**Table 4**. Bivariate correlation of results from three antioxidant capacity assays and total Phenolic and flavonoid contents.

Antioxidant parameter	Pearson's coefficient correlation (r)			
Antioxidant parameter	TPC	TFC		
DPPH	.923**	.009		
FRAP	.195	.712		
CUPRAC	.923**	.582		

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Based on the phenol and flavonoid content of bajakah plants, pharmacological activities related to degenerative diseases and oxidative stress can be studied. Furthermore, bajakah plants also have the potential to be developed as antioxidant preparations such as supplements, herbal preparations, and cosmetics.

## 4. Conclusion

The highest antioxidant capacity was shown in the ethanol extract of bajakah white variety for CUPRAC and FRAP methods and n-hexane extract of red variety in the DPPH method. DPPH and CUPRAC have a positive correlation with TPC. CUPRAC assay produced higher values than FRAP and DPPH assays, and CUPRAC assay seemed to be a better method for expressing the antioxidant capacity of phenolic compounds.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to UIN Alauddin for supporting this research and Nursalam Hamzah who has helped partially funded of this research.

Author contributions: Concept – M.I.A, N.Z.,; Design – M.I.A., M., N.Z.; Supervision – M.I.A, M., N.Z.; Resources – N.Z., M.I.A.; Materials – N.Z., N.S., N.A.A.N.; Data Collection and/or Processing – N.S., N.F., N.A.A.N.; Analysis and/or Interpretation – M.I.A., N.Z., N.S., N.F., N.A.A.N.; Literature Search – M.I.A., N.Z., N.S., N.F., N.A.A.N.; Writing – M.I.A.; Critical Reviews – M., N.Z.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## **Conflict of interest**

There are no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Aryal S, Baniya MK, Danekhu K, Kunwar K, Gurung R, Koirala N. 2019. Total phenolic content, flavonoid content and antioxidant potential of wild vegetables from western nepal. *Plants (Basel)*, 8(4):96. doi: 10.3390/ plants8040096
- Babbar N, Oberoi HS, Sandhu SK. 2015. Therapeutic and nutraceutical potential of bioactive compounds extracted from fruit residues. *Neha Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*, 55(3):319–37. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2011.653734.
- Bendary E, Francis RR, Ali HMG, Sarwat MI, El Hady S. 2013. Antioxidant and structure–activity relationships (SARs) of some phenolic and anilines compounds. *Ann Agric Sci*, 58(2):173–81. doi: 10.1016/j.aoas.2013.07.002

- Bener M, Ozyürek M, Güçlü K, Apak R. 2013. Novel optical fiber reflectometric CUPRAC sensor for total antioxidant capacity measurement of food extracts and biological samples. *J Agr and Food Chem*, 61:8381–8. doi: 10.1021/jf402327x.
- Berker K, Demirata B, Apak R. 2012. Determination of total antioxidant capacity of lipophilic and hydrophilic antioxidants in the same solution by using ferric-ferricyanide assay. *Food Anal Meth*, 5:1150–8. doi: 10.1007/s12161-011-9358-2.
- Celep E, Charehsaz M, Akyüz S, Acar E, & Yesilada E. 2015. Effect of in vitro gastrointestinal digestion on the bioavailability of phenolic components and the antioxidant potentials of some Turkish fruit wines. *Food Res Int*, 78:209-15. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2015.10.009.
- Chang C, Yang M, Wen H, Chern J. 2002. Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by complementary colorimetric methods. *J. Food Drug Anal*, 10:178-82. doi: 10.38212/2224-6614.2748.
- Fitriani, Sampepana E, Saputra S. 2020. Characteristic of bajakah root plants (*Spatholobus littoralis* hassk) from loakulu kutai kartanegara district. *Jurnal Riset Teknologi Industri*, 14(2):365-76. doi: 10.26578/jrti.v14i2.6590.
- Fu Y, Jiang L, Zhao W, Xi-nan M, Huang S. Yang J, Chen H. 2017. Immunomodulatory and antioxidant effects of total flavonoids of Spatholobus suberectus Dunn on PCV2 infected mice. *Sci Rep*, 7:8676. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09340-9.
- McDonald S, Prenzler P, Antolovich M, Robards, K. 2001. Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of olive extracts. *Food Chem*, 73:73-84. doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(00)00288-0.
- Novanty V, Pangkahila W, Dewi N. 2021. Administration of ethanol extract of Bajakah tampala (*Spatholobus littoralis* Hassk) stem decreased reactive oxygen species, visceral fat and body weight of obese rats. *Neurologico Spinale Medico Chirurgico*, 4(1):32-36. doi: 10.36444/nsmc.v4i1.150.
- Ozyürek M, Bektaşoğlu B, Güçlü K, Güngör N, Apak R. 2008. Simultaneous total antioxidant capacity assay of lipophilic and hydrophilic antioxidants in the same acetone-water solution containing 2% methylbeta-cyclodextrin using the cupric reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC) method. *Anal Chim Acta*, 630:28-39. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2008.09.057.
- Prior R, Wu X, Schaich K. 2005. Standardized methods for the determination of antioxidant capacity and phenolics in foods and dietary supplements. *J Agric Food Chem*, 53(10):4290–302. doi: 10.1021/jf0502698.
- Rice-Evans C, Miller N, Paganga G. 1996. Structure-antioxidant activity relationships of flavonoids and phenolic acids. *Free Radic Biol Med*, 20(7):933–56. doi: 10.1016/0891-5849(95)02227-9.
- Saputera M, & Ayuchecaria N. 2018. Effectiveness test of etanolic extract of bajakah tampala (*Spatholobus littoralis* Hassk.) on time to heal a wound. *J Ilmiah Ibnu Sina*, 3(2): 318-27. doi: 10.36387/jijis.v3j2.185.
- wound. *J Ilmiah Ibnu Sina*, 3(2): 318-27. doi: 10.36387/jiis.v3i2.185.
  Shahidi F, & Ambigaipalan P. 2015. Phenolics and polyphenolics in foods, beverages and spices: Antioxidant activity and health effects a review. *J Func Foods*, 18:820–97. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2015.06.018.
- Shahidi F, Zhong Y. 2015. Measurement of antioxidant activity. J Func Foods, 18:757-81. doi: 10.1016 /j.jff.2015.01.047.
- Tungmunnithum D, Thongboonyou A, Pholboon A, Yangsabai A. 2018. Flavonoids and other phenolic compounds from medicinal plants for pharmaceutical and medical aspects: An overview. *Medicines*, 5:93. doi: 10.3390/medicines5030093.